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Integrated Control Framework (ICF) Testbed

- Designed for multi-robot (UGV and UAV) command and control experimentation in simulation (OTB)
- Emphasis on a team of heterogeneous autonomous robots under the command of a single operator
- Also serves as a toolkit for building applications
Secure Wireless Agent Testbed (SWAT)

- Developed by Drexel under the Applied Communications and Information Networking (ACIN) program sponsored by CERDEC
- Humans use PDAs with GPS to communicate over a mobile ad-hoc network (MANET)
- Robots (Pioneer P3-AT) use similar equipment
ICF Testbed and SWAT Combined for Robotics Demonstration
Current Practice

- Robots are tele-operated with no autonomous capabilities
- Robot requires full attention of the operator at all times (while in transit and while sniffing)
- Operator must be close enough to view the robot or have adequate video capability
- Operator may require another human nearby for security
- Humans maintain situational awareness via direct or radio conversation
Demonstration Scenario

- Robot follows one human team member
- A different team member spots a suspicious vehicle and enters the location on his PDA
- The robot asks the commander for permission to inspect the vehicle via a message on his PDA
- The commander grants permission on his PDA
- The robot plans a path to the vehicle and performs the inspection
- The robot reports the results
- The robot returns to its previous task of following a human team member
Demonstration Video
Innovations and Implications

- SWAT devices improve situation awareness for both humans and robots
  - Improves everyone’s decisions
- Robot is autonomous part of the team instead of controlled by a single human
  - Reduced workload
  - Flexibility
- Natural interaction between robot and humans
  - Minimized special training required
- Mixed initiative, interruptible tasking
  - Autonomous and semi-autonomous control supported
- Robot utilizes superior human sensory and reasoning capabilities
  - Robot not constrained by limitations of computer vision
Conclusions

- **Nuggets**
  - ICF Testbed was designed for simulating robots but it has been adapted for use with real robots

- **Coal**
  - The gap between simulation and reality for robotics is large
  - Experimentation exposed issues not apparent in simulation
    - Uncertainty in location, communication
    - Lower level robot control
  - Even a relatively simple scenario presents many challenges